

# Zeilberger's Algorithm

Existence of the telescoped recurrence

---

Alain Schmid

May 7, 2020

University of Zurich

# 1. Setting

---

# 1. Setting - Basics

**Convention:**  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We consider a sum of the form

$$f(n) = \sum_k F(n, k),$$

for  $n, k$  such that the terms  $F(n, k)$  are well-defined and hypergeometric.

# 1. Setting - Hypergeometric terms

Recall the following definition:

## **Definition**

A term  $F(n, k)$  is a **hypergeometric term** in both arguments, if

$$\frac{F(n+1, k)}{F(n, k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{F(n, k+1)}{F(n, k)}$$

are both rational functions of  $n$  and  $k$ .

# 1. Setting - Goal

Zeilberger's algorithm makes use of the existence of a **telescoped recurrence**, therefore we want to prove that

there exists  $J \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , polynomials  $(a_j)_{j=0}^J$  in  $\mathbb{C}[n]$ , not all zero, and a term  $G(n, k)$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^J a_j(n) F(n+j, k) = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k)$$

# 1. Setting - Goal

Zeilberger's algorithm makes use of the existence of a **telescoped recurrence**, therefore we want to prove that

there exists  $J \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , polynomials  $(a_j)_{j=0}^J$  in  $\mathbb{C}[n]$ , not all zero, and a term  $G(n, k)$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^J a_j(n) F(n+j, k) = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k)$$

Assuming  $G$  has finite support, summing on both sides over  $k$  gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^J a_j(n) f(n+j) = 0.$$

## 2. Statement

---

## 2. Statement - Precondition

Recall:

### Definition

A term  $F(n, k)$  is **proper hypergeometric** if it can be written in the form

$$F(n, k) = P(n, k) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q (a_i n + b_i k + c_i)!}{\prod_{j=1}^r (u_j n + v_j k + w_j)!} x^k,$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{C}[n, k]$ ,  $a_i, b_i, u_j, v_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  and  $r, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .



## 2. Statement - Main theorem

### Theorem

Let  $F(n, k)$  be a proper hypergeometric term. Then there are  $J \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , polynomials  $(a_j)_{j=0}^J$  in  $\mathbb{C}[n]$ , not all zero, and a function  $G(n, k)$  such that (whenever  $F(n, k) \neq 0$  and all appearing terms of the form  $F(n + j, k)$  are well-defined)

$$\sum_{j=0}^J a_j(n) F(n + j, k) = G(n, k + 1) - G(n, k)$$

and  $\frac{G(n, k)}{F(n, k)}$  is a rational function.

### **3. Preparation for the proof**

---

### 3. Preparation for the proof - Fundamental theorem

Recall the first part of the fundamental theorem:

#### **Theorem**

*Let  $F(n, k)$  be a proper hypergeometric term. Then there exist  $I, J \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and polynomials  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[n]$  for  $i = 0, \dots, I, j = 0, \dots, J$ , not all zero, such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^I \sum_{j=0}^J a_{ij}(n) F(n-j, k-i) = 0$$

*whenever  $F(n, k) \neq 0$  and all appearing terms of the form  $F(n-j, k-i)$  are well-defined and non-zero.*

### 3. Preparation for the proof - Shift operators

**Definition**

If  $p(n)$  (resp.  $u(k)$ ) is a term dependent on  $n$  (resp.  $k$ ), then define

$$N(p(n)) := p(n+1) \quad \text{and} \quad K(u(k)) := u(k+1).$$

### 3. Preparation for the proof - Shift operators

#### Definition

If  $p(n)$  (resp.  $u(k)$ ) is a term dependent on  $n$  (resp.  $k$ ), then define

$$N(p(n)) := p(n+1) \quad \text{and} \quad K(u(k)) := u(k+1).$$

I will use distributive notation, i.e.

$$(aN^n K^k + bK^l N^m + c)(F(n, k)) := \\ aN^n (K^k (F(n, k))) + bK^l (N^m (F(n, k))) + cF(n, k)$$

for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $n, k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 1

**Lemma 1**

*Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$  be a polynomial. Then there exists a polynomial  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$  such that*

$$P(u, v, w) = P(u, v, 1) + (1 - w) Q(u, v, w).$$

### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 1 - Proof

#### Proof

Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$  be a polynomial. Its Taylor series in  $w = 1$  is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\partial^n P}{\partial w^n}(u, v, 1)}{n!} (w - 1)^n,$$

a finite sum (since  $P$  is a polynomial). Thus there exists a polynomial  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$  such that

$$P(u, v, w) = P(u, v, 1) + (1 - w) Q(u, v, w),$$

i.e. choose

$$Q(u, v, w) := - \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\partial^n P}{\partial w^n}(u, v, 1)}{n!} (w - 1)^{n-1} \right).$$



### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 2

#### **Lemma 2**

*Let  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  and  $F(n, k)$  be a hypergeometric term in both arguments. Then  $Q(N, n, K) F(n, k)$  is a rational multiple of  $F(n, k)$ .*



### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 2 - Proof

#### Lemma 2

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  and  $F(n, k)$  be a hypergeometric term in both arguments. Then  $Q(N, n, K)F(n, k)$  is a rational multiple of  $F(n, k)$ .

#### Proof

Let  $F(n, k)$  be a hypergeometric term in both arguments, fulfilling the conditions above, and  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ . Then  $\frac{Q(N, n, K)F(n, k)}{F(n, k)}$  can be written in the form

$$\sum_{(i, j) \in A} a_{ij}(n) \frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n, k)}$$

for some finite  $A \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[n]$  for all  $(i, j) \in A$ .

### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 2 - Proof

Let  $F(n, k)$  be a hypergeometric term in both arguments and  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ . Then  $\frac{Q(N, n, K)F(n, k)}{F(n, k)}$  can be written in the form

$$\sum_{(i, j) \in A} a_{ij}(n) \frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n, k)}$$

for some finite  $A \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[n]$  for all  $(i, j) \in A$ .

Note that for each  $(i, j) \in A$

$$F(n+i, k+j) = \frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n+i-1, k+j)} F(n+i-1, k+j)$$

and  $\frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n+i-1, k+j)}$  is a rational function.

### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 2 - Proof

Note that for each  $(i, j) \in A$

$$F(n+i, k+j) = \frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n+i-1, k+j)} F(n+i-1, k+j)$$

and  $\frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n+i-1, k+j)}$  is a rational function.

Thus (by iterating this procedure) there is some rational function  $R(n, k)$  such that

$$F(n+i, k+j) = R(n, k) F(n, k+j).$$

### 3. Preparation for the Proof - Lemma 2 - Proof

Thus (by iterating this procedure) there is some rational function  $R(n, k)$  such that

$$F(n+i, k+j) = R(n, k) F(n, k+j).$$

With the same argument as before, we find also a rational function  $S(n, k)$  such that

$$F(n, k+j) = S(n, k) F(n, k).$$

Thus

$$\frac{F(n+i, k+j)}{F(n, k)} = R(n, k) S(n, k).$$



## 4. Proof

---

## 4. Proof - Strategy

- A Take the provided 2-variable recurrence from the fundamental theorem.
- B Reorder the terms such that we get a recurrence in the desired form which is independent of  $k$ .
- C Show (from this form) that the provided function  $G(n, k)$  is a rational multiple of  $F(n, k)$ .
- D Prove by contradiction that the found recurrence is nontrivial.

## 4. Proof - Step A

Let  $F(n, k)$  be a proper hypergeometric term. Then, using the first part of the fundamental theorem, there exist  $I, J \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and polynomials  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[n]$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, I\}, j \in \{0, \dots, J\}$ , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^I \sum_{j=0}^J a_{ij}(n) F(n+j, k+i) = 0$$

whenever  $F(n, k) \neq 0$  and all of the values  $F(n+j, k+i)$  are well-defined.

## 4. Proof - Step A

Let  $F(n, k)$  be a proper hypergeometric term. Then, using the first part of the fundamental theorem, there exist  $I, J \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and polynomials  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[n]$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, I\}, j \in \{0, \dots, J\}$ , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^I \sum_{j=0}^J a_{ij}(n) F(n+j, k+i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

whenever  $F(n, k) \neq 0$  and all of the values  $F(n+j, k+i)$  are well-defined.

Using the notion of shift operators, (1) can be written in the form

$$P(N, n, K)(F(n, k)) = 0 \quad (2)$$

for some polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$ .



## 4. Proof - Step B

It's possible to find a polynomial  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$  such that

$$P(u, v, w) = P(u, v, 1) + (1 - w) Q(u, v, w). \quad (3)$$

## 4. Proof - Step B

It's possible to find a polynomial  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$  such that

$$P(u, v, w) = P(u, v, 1) + (1 - w) Q(u, v, w).$$

Plugging this into (2) yields

$$P(N, n, 1)(F(n, k)) + (1 - K)(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))) = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$P(N, n, 1)(F(n, k)) = (K - 1)(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))). \quad (4)$$

## 4. Proof - Step B

$$P(N, n, 1)(F(n, k)) = (K - 1)(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k)))$$

Define

$$G(n, k) := Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k)),$$

then

$$P(N, n, 1)(F(n, k)) = (K - 1)G(n, k) = G(n, k + 1) - G(n, k). \quad (5)$$

## 4. Proof - Step C

We have

### **Lemma 2**

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  and  $F(n, k)$  be a hypergeometric term in both arguments. Then  $Q(N, n, K) F(n, k)$  is a rational multiple of  $F(n, k)$ .

and

$$G(n, k) := Q(N, n, K) (F(n, k)).$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

Note that

$$P(N, n, K) \neq 0.$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

Note that

$$P(N, n, K) \neq 0.$$

Choose  $P(N, n, K)$  with least possible degree in  $K$  such that it fulfills this property and

$$P(N, n, K)(F(n, k)) = 0.$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

Note that

$$P(N, n, K) \neq 0.$$

Choose  $P(N, n, K)$  such that it fulfills this property and

$$P(N, n, K)(F(n, k)) = 0.$$

Again, write

$$P(N, n, K) = P(N, n, 1) + (1 - K) Q(N, n, K).$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

Again, write

$$P(N, n, K) = P(N, n, 1) + (1 - K) Q(N, n, K).$$

Assume that

$$P(N, n, 1) \equiv 0$$



## 4. Proof - Step D

Again, write

$$P(N, n, K) = P(N, n, 1) + (1 - K) Q(N, n, K).$$

Assume that

$$P(N, n, 1) \equiv 0$$

and recall that

$$P(N, n, K) F(n, k) = 0$$

.

## 4. Proof - Step D

Again, write

$$P(N, n, K) = P(N, n, 1) + (1 - K) Q(N, n, K).$$

Assume that

$$P(N, n, 1) \equiv 0$$

and recall that

$$P(N, n, K) F(n, k) = 0.$$

Thus

$$G(n, k + 1) - G(n, k) = (K - 1) (Q(N, n, K) (F(n, k))) = 0.$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

Thus

$$G(n, k + 1) - G(n, k) = (K - 1)(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))) = 0.$$

Therefore we find a rational function

$$g(n) := \frac{G(n + 1, k)}{G(n, k)}.$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

Thus

$$G(n, k+1) - G(n, k) = (K-1)(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))) = 0.$$

Therefore we find a rational function

$$g(n) := \frac{G(n+1, k)}{G(n, k)}.$$

Rearranging,

$$(N - g(n))(G(n, k)) = 0.$$

Using, that  $g$  is rational, we find  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[n]$  such that

$$g(n) = \frac{a(n)}{b(n)}$$

and

$$(b(n)N - a(n))G(n, k) = 0$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

What we have:

$$G(n, k) := Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))$$

$$P(N, n, K) = P(N, n, 1) + (1 - K) Q(N, n, K)$$

$P(N, n, K) \not\equiv 0$  has minimal degree in  $K$  such that

$$P(N, n, K)(F(n, k)) = 0$$

$$P(N, n, 1) \equiv 0$$

$$(b(n)N - a(n))G(n, k) = 0$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

**Case I:**  $Q \equiv 0$

Immediately from our assumptions

$$P(N, n, K) \equiv P(N, n, 1)$$

## 4. Proof - Step D

**Case I:**  $Q \equiv 0$

Immediately from our assumptions

$$P(N, n, K) \equiv P(N, n, 1),$$

but  $P(N, n, K) \not\equiv 0$  and  $P(N, n, 1) \equiv 0$ .

## 4. Proof - Step D

**Case II:**  $Q \neq 0$

As we found,

$$(b(n)N - a(n))(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))) = 0$$

is a nontrivial recurrence for  $F(n, k)$ .



## 4. Proof - Step D

**Case II:**  $Q \not\equiv 0$

As we found,

$$(b(n)N - a(n))(Q(N, n, K)(F(n, k))) = 0$$

is a nontrivial recurrence for  $F(n, k)$ . Recalling

$$P(N, n, K) = P(N, n, 1) + (1 - K)Q(N, n, K)$$

we get a contradiction to the minimality of the degree in  $K$  of  $P(N, n, K)$ .

## 4. Proof - Conclusion

We can conclude that

$P(N, n, 1)(F(n, k)) = (K - 1)G(n, k)$  is a nontrivial telescoped recurrence for  $F(n, k)$ .

**THE END**

---